

REMARKS

Claims 1, 2, and 4-22 remain in the application and claims 1, 4, 5, 7, 13, 16, and 18 have been amended hereby. Claim 3 has been canceled, without prejudice or disclaimer.

A new Abstract is submitted herewith, as requested in the Office Action at paragraph 1.

Claim 16 has been amended, as requested in the Office Action at paragraph 2.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the rejection of claims 1, 2, 6-9, 12-14, and 17-22 under 35 USC 103(a), as being unpatentable over Boling et al. in view of Oyama, and of the rejection of claims 3-5, 10, and 11 under 35 USC 103(a), as being unpatentable over Boling et al. in view of Oyama and Rune.

Claim 3 has been rejected as being unpatentable over Boling et al. in view of Oyama and Rune and, because the limitations of claim 3 have been incorporated in amended independent claims 1, 7, 13, and 18, the rejection of amended independent claims 1, 7, 13, and 18, and the claims depending therefrom, will be addressed as a rejection under 35 USC 103(a) over Boling et al. in view of Oyama and Rune.

Features of the terminal according to the present invention are to limit the execution of a predetermined function other than radio communications (e.g. an audio function) when a registration process including receiving and registering controlling data transmitted by a base station is not executed.

Independent claims 1, 7, 13, and 18 have been amended to

recite these features of the present invention.

It is respectfully submitted that the combination of Boling et al., Oyama, and Rune fails to show or suggest limiting the execution of a predetermined function other than radio communications when a registration process including receiving and registering controlling data transmitted by a base station is not executed.

The Office Action at paragraph 5 concedes that the combination of Boling et al. and Oyama fails to show or suggest that the registration processing is comprised of receiving and registering controlling data transmitted from the base station and cites Rune as curing this deficiency.

It is respectfully submitted that Rune fails to show or suggest limiting the execution of a predetermined function other than radio communications when a registration process including receiving and registering controlling data transmitted by a base station is not executed. Rune is merely registering a mobile # terminal for purposes of tracking its movement.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that amended independent claims 1, 7, 13, and 18, and the claims depending therefrom, are patentably distinct over Boling et al. in view of Oyama and Rune.

Applicants' take notice of the Examiner's finding of Allowable subject matter in claims 15 and 16.

7217/63755

Favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,  
COOPER & DUNHAM, LLP

Jay H. Maioli  
Pedro C. Fernandez

Jay H. Maioli  
Reg. No. 27,213  
Pedro C. Fernandez  
Reg. No. 41,741

JHM/PCF:tb